11/13/2003 17:12 6315311340

Attorney Docket No.: 01.39

ESTEE LAUDER

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 1 3 2003

OFFICIAL Brief

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of: WOLF, Shane D.

Serial No.: 09/923,113

Group Art Unit: 3732

Filed: August 6, 2001

Examiner: MANAHAN, T.

For: Stress Relieving Gel Handle Tools For Salon And Spa

REPLY BRIEF UNDER 37 CFR 1.193(b)(1)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following constitutes Applicant's reply to the Examiner's Answer, mailed December 2, 2003. Applicant acknowledges the correction made by the Examiner in section (4), "Status of Amendments After Final." The Examiner has correctly described the situation.

In section (10) "Grounds of Rejection" the Examiner wrote, "Tindall discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the viscous material."

This characterization of Tindall is misleading. It's not only that Tindall does not disclose a viscous material, it's that Tindall specifically does disclose a non-viscous material. It's one thing to say that Tindall does not disclose an element and McCall does disclose the element, let's see if they should be combined. It's a much different thing to say let's replace a critical element of Tindall with a substantively different element borrowed from McCall. In this case, the elements in question exclude one another. Tindall teaches using a non-viscous medium to obtain scissors grips having certain characteristics. In contrast McCall teaches using a viscous medium to obtain writing implement grips having different characteristics. The desired characteristics are themselves exclusive of one another. Tindall describes grips that immediately return to their original shape when pressure is abated. McCall describes grips that retain shape for a substantial

period after pressure is abated. Therefore, making the substitution of a viscous medium for a non-viscous medium, as suggested by the Examiner, renders the Tindall grips inoperative for their intended purpose. This alone defeats prima facie obviousness.

Once again, in the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner has stated that McCall describes "problems with such air filled grips". The Examiner has appealed to column 1, lines 55-65 of McCall. But even a casual reading of that whole paragraph clearly shows that McCall is only describing the problems of air filled grips as it relates to writing implements. What makes the Examiner think that any other handheld implements (i.e. shears) with air-filled grips would suffer from the same problems? There is no suggestion in McCall or Tindall that this is the case. At column 4, lines 10-12 McCall does state that his grip can be applied to "other manual implements with working tips, for example, paint brushes, jeweler's tools and other devices". But McCall does not say why you may want to do that. And even if he did, it still doesn't teach or suggest anything about the situation of scissors grips. Scissors are not the same as writing implements. They are handled and used differently and for the most part, the tip of the seissors is not used. There is simply no suggestion in McCall or any of the cited references that air-filled grips on scissors are a problem. With that in mind, we turn to the Tindall reference which specifically teaches that air-filled scissors grips are the way to go and does not teach the use of a viscous medium. Examining the whole of these two references for all they fairly disclose, applicant fails to see why a person of skill in art would be motivated to apply the "solution" of McCall to the scissors of Tindall. This alone defeats prima facie obviousness.

CONCLUSION

Applicants have shown, by the foregoing arguments, that the PTO has not borne its burden of establishing obviousness of claims 14, 17-24 of the present application. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Board reverse the holding of obviousness under 35 USC §103(a), and pass the present claims to issue.

> Respectfully submitted, Arancan 11/13/03

Peter Giancana, Reg. No. 44,706

Estee Lauder Companies

125 Pinelawn Road Melville, NY 11747

(631)531-1193

Fax Cover Sheet

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 1 3 2003

11/13/03

4:10 PM

TO: TOOD MANAHAN

PHONE:

FAX:

703-872-9303

FROM: Peter Giancana Estée Lauder Companies PHONE:

(631)-531-1193

FAX:

(631)-531-1340

RE:

CC:

Message:

09/923,113

Number of pages including cover sheet:

TRANSMITTAN (1)
PET THANSMITTAN (1)
REPLY Brief (2)
PAD Cover (1)

Reply Brief

,	U.S. Paten	PTO/SB/21 (03-03) Approved for use through 04/30/2003. QMB 0651-0031 If and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE on of Information unless it displays a yalid OMB cantrol number.	
Under the Panerwork Reduction Act of 1995, no. I	Application Number	09/923113	
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	August 6, 2001	
FORM	First Named Inventor	WOLF, S.	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filling	Art Unit	3732	
, team to	Examiner Name	MANAHAN, T.	
Total Number of Pages In This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	01.39	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addi Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks	After Allowance Communication to a Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Briaf, Reply Briaf) Proprietary Information	
SIGNATU	RE OF APPLICANT, ATTORN	IEY, OR AGENT	
Firm Poter Giancana - 44,706 or Individual Signature	àncar		
Date November 13, 2003	·		
CER	TIFICATE OF TRANSMISSIO	N/MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facal first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commiss	mile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited loner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on	with the United <u>States Postal Service with s</u> ufficient postage as this date: November 13, 2003	
Typed or printed Peter Giançana			
Signature The	7 mican	Date November 13, 2003	
This collection of informations required by 37 CFR 1, process) an application. Confidentially is governed gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed a	by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This opposition form to the USPTO. Time will vidor suggestions for reducing this burden, a sahington, OC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEE	stain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including any depanding upon the individual case. Any comments on the should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and SS OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:	

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and solect option 2.

Signature

6315311340

PTO/SB/17 (05-03) Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0032

November 13, 2003

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number				
	Complete if Known			
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Application Number 09/923113			
	Filing Date Aug 6, 2001			
for FY 2003	First Named Inventor WOLF			
Effective 01/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	Examiner Name Manahan	_		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Art Unit 3732			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 320	Attorney Docket No. 01.39	/		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)	_		
Check Credit card Money Other None	3. ADDITIONAL FEES			
Deposit Account:	Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description			
Daposit 05 4200	Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee	Paid		
Number	1051 130 2051 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath 1052 50 2052 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or			
Deposit Account Estee Lauder	cover sheet	—		
Name The Director is sutherized to: (check all linet apply)	1053 130 1053 130 Non-English specification 1812 2,520 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination			
Charge fee(s) Indicated below Credit any overpayments	1804 920 1804 920 Requesting publication of SIR prior to			
Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application	Examiner action	$\neg \neg$		
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account.	1805 1,840° 1805 1,840° Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	\dashv		
FEE CALCULATION	1251 110 2261 55 Extension for rapiy within first month	ł		
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1252 410 2252 205 Extension for reply within second month 1253 930 2253 465 Extension for reply within third month			
Large Entity Small Entity	1253 930 2253 465 Extension for reply within third month 1254 1,450 2254 725 Extension for reply within fourth month			
Foo Fee Fee Fee Fee Description res Paid Gods (\$)	1255 1.970 2255 985 Extension for reply within fifth month			
1001 750 2001 375 Utility filing fee	1401 320 2401 160 Notice of Appeal			
1002 330 2002 165 Design filing fee	1402 320 2402 160 Filling a brief in support of an appeal 330			
1003 520 2003 260 Plant filing fee 1004 750 2004 375 Relssue filing fee	1403 280 2403 140 Request for oral hearing	$-\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!-$		
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee	1451 1,510 1451 1,510 Patition to institute a public use proceeding			
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)	1452 110 2452 55 Petition to ravive - unavoldable	$\neg \neg$		
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE	1453 1,300 2453 650 Petition to revive - unintentional			
Fee from Extra Claims below Fee Paid	1 1			
Total Claims .20** = X	1503 630 2503 315 Plant Issue fee			
Independent 3** = X =	1460 130 1460 130 Petitions to the Commissionar	∤		
Multiple Dependent	1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)			
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee	1806 180 1808 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt			
Code (\$) Code (\$)	property (times number of properties)			
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 1201 84 2201 42 Independent claims in excess of 3	1809 750 2809 375 Filling a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))			
1201 84 2201 42 independent claims in excess of 5	1810 750 2810 375 For each additional invention to be			
1204 84 2204 42 ** Reissue indépendent claims	examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801 750 2801 375 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)			
over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Reissue claims in excess of 20	1801 750 2801 375 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application			
and over original patent	Other fee (specify)			
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	"Reduced by Besic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 330			
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Relasuea, see above (Complete (if applicable)				
Name (Printing) Refer Giencana	Registration No. 44706 Telephone 631-531-1193			

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not he locuded on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, usptTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burdon, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.